

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMME United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 03/08/2002 10/092,756 Junichi Ikeda 112176 2862 08/27/2003 25944 7590 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC EXAMINER P.O. BOX 19928 ZIRKER, DANIEL R ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		
	Examiner	Group Art Unit
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet l	beneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIREO -	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	ply within the statutory m expire SIX (6) MONTHS ute, cause the application	ninimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this communication.  n to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		<del></del>
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>		
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935</li> </ul>		
Dispositi n f Claims		
© Claim(s) / - 14		
Of the above claim(s)		
□ Claim(s)	•	
□ Claim(s)		- ·
☐ Claim(s)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
• •		are subject to restriction or election requirement
Applicati n Papers  ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved	☐ disapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ed to by the Examine	er
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)–(d).
□ All □ Some* □ None of the:		
	eceived.	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re		No
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents		
in this national stage application from the International		- "
*Certified copies not received:		•
Attachment(s)		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	(s)	Interview Summary, PTO-413
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-15
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	·	Other
Office Action Summary		

Serial No. 10/092,756

Art Unit 1771

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
- I. Claims 1-3, drawn to a urethane prepolymer and the composition contained in a spray can, classified in Class 524, subclass \_\_\_\_.
- II. Claims 4-11 and 13, drawn to an adhesive polymer coated on a support, classified in Class 428, subclass 355N.
- 2. III. Claims 12 and 14, drawn to a printing relief, classified in Class 428, subclass 343.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 4. Inventions Group I and Group II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a chemical composition finding a wide variety of uses in the laminate and other related arts and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicants traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicants should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the

Art Unit 1771

species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Inventions Group I and Group II are unrelated.

  Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions relate to a chemical composition and a printing relief having no relationship to the chemical composition.
- 6. Inventions Group II and Group III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as an adhesive coated sheet having a wide variety of utilities in the laminate and related arts and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicants traverse on the

Serial No. 10/092,756

Art Unit 1771

ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicants should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. A telephone call was made to Thomas Pardini on August 22, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 9. Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Zirker whose telephone number is (703) 308-0031. The examiner

Serial No. 10/092,756

Art Unit 1771

can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris, can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Dzirker:cdc

August 25, 2003

DANIEL ZIRKER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1909

1700

Daniel Zukin